

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION No 426 of 1997

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.S.PARIKH

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?

RAVINDRA BALCHANDRA TIPNIS

Versus

MOHAN KANJI GHIA

Appearance:

MR AR THACKER for Petitioner

MR SURESH M SHAH for Respondent No. 1

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.S.PARIKH

Date of decision: 11/04/97

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Rule. Service of Rule waived by Mr.M.S.Shah, for
Mr.S.M.Shah, learned Advocate for the respondent.

2. Heard. Whereas the revision application against the order fixing the date of hearing cannot be entertained, bearing in mind the fact that the learned Extra Assistant Judge could not take up the matter on

10.4.1997, the date fixed by him is hereby extended upto 30.6.1997. It is expected that both the learned Advocates appearing before the trial Court will file their respective written arguments before the appellate Court on or before that date with liberty to both the learned Advocate appearing before the appellate Court to seek permission to make further submission, if any. As and when such application is moved the same would be decided strictly in accordance with merits and in accordance with law. It is expected that the learned Appellate Judge decides the matter as expeditiously as possible after the written submissions are filed, preferrably within three weeks from the date of filing of such written submissions.

Rest of the order will remain.

Subject to this Rule is discharged. Interim relief granted by the Appellate Court shall stand extended till upto the date on which the said Court render its judgment.

* * * * *